

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/624,431	DICK, SPENCER B.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sarah Purol	3634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Sarah Purol.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Pierre Van Rysselberghe.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


21,26,31,43

Prior art documents discussed:

Dix and Winnard

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Claim 43 was deleted (note Winnard). The above claims were amended to overcome a rejection based on Dix.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)